

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL



Thursday, the 12th March 1959.

The House met in the Council Chamber, Fort St. George, at three of the clock, Mr. Chairman (THE HON. DR. P. V. CHERIAN) in the Chair.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

Co-operative Building Society for Non-Gazetted Government Officers of Coimbatore

* 135 Q.—SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether any Co-operative Building Society for Non-Gazetted Government Officers of Coimbatore has been started;

(b) if so, the progress so far made by the Society in the construction of the buildings; and

(c) the extent of Central and State aid, if any, proposed to be given to the Society?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Lands to the extent of about 93 acres have been acquired. Layout plan for the area and type designs for construction of houses have been prepared and got approved by the Director of Town-Planning. The Society is expected to start construction work in 1959-60.

(c) The Society is not eligible for Central aid, and it has not yet applied for State loans. However, a Senior Inspector of Co-operative Societies has been deputed at the Government cost to attend to the work of the Society. The question of placing at the disposal of the Society the services of a supervisor for the Public Works Department also at the Government cost, is under consideration of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI: Have the Government any proposal to subsidise these building schemes?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: No, they are not eligible for any subsidy.

SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI: May I know whether they would at least be subsidised for the construction of roads and other common amenities, just as the Government are doing in the case of Local Development Works and Community Development Projects?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: The whole thing is a co-operative house building scheme and under this scheme, they will have to lay roads and provide for other amenities. The Government can give them only loans.

[12th March 1959]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I know whether such a Society will come into being only in the Coimbatore district or in the other districts also?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Whenever societies of Government employees come up with a house building programme, the Government help them.

Distribution of College Calendar

* 136 Q.—**VIDWAN T. MUTHUKANNAPPAN :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is any delay in distributing the College Calendar to the students of the Government Colleges during 1957-58; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (a) & (b) There was some delay in the distribution of the Calendars during 1957-58 in certain Government Colleges. This was due to the late printing of the Calendars by the Government Press on account of pressure of work on hand at the Press.

VIDWAN T. MUTHUKANNAPPAN : இந்தக் 'காலேஜ் காலெண்டர்' மாணவர்களுக்கும் ஆசிரியர்களுக்கும் மிக மிக முக்கியமானது, சிறந்த துணை நூல், வழிகாட்டியும் கூட. அப்படியிருந்தும், இன்று வரையில் பல கல்லூரிகளில் காலெண்டர் கொடுக்கப்படவில்லை. அடுத்த ஆண்டிலாவது, கல்லூரி திறக்கும் சமயத்திலாவது, அல்லது திறந்து சில தினங்களுக்குள்ளாவது காலெண்டர் கொடுப்பதற்கு அரசாங்கத்தார் ஏற்பாடு செய்வார்களா?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : இப்பொழுது கவர்ன்மெண்டு பிரஸ்ஸில் இரண்டு ஷிப்டு வேலை செய்ய ஆரம்பித்திருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகையினால் இனி சீக்கிரம் வேலை முடியும் என்று எதிர்பார்க்கிறோம்.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : The Hon. the Minister for Education said that there was some delay in distributing these Calendars. I understand that College Calendars were distributed in some colleges in the month of December and in some other colleges in this month. May I know why there has been a delay of seven or eight months?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I was referring to the year 1957-58. I do not have information for this year. Perhaps, this year there might have been some delay and I hope that this delay would not occur next year and that the Government Press would be prompt enough to print these Calendars.

Drinking water wells

* 137 Q.—**SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN** (on behalf of Sri M. Ethirajalu) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) the number of drinking water wells sunk under National Extension Service and Community Development Schemes in this State during the years 1956-57 and 1957-58; and

(b) the expenditure incurred for the purpose?

12th March 1959]

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN (on behalf of the Hon. the Minister for Finance) : (a) The total number of drinking water wells sunk under the National Extension Service and Community Development Schemes in this State is 759 during 1956-57 and 795 during 1957-58.

(b) The total expenditure incurred for the purpose is Rs. 5,45,957-96 nP. during 1956-57 and Rs. 3,55,112-02 nP. during 1957-58.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : இந்தக் கிணறுகளில் தண்ணீர் இருக்கிறதா ? தண்ணீர் இல்லாத கிணறுகளைப் பார்த்திருக்கிறோம்.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : தண்ணீர் இருக்கிற கிணறுகள்தான் என்று நினைக்கிறேன்.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Is it a fact that much more money was spent for sinking of wells by giving contract for a large amount than what was ordinarily warranted?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : No, Sir. It is really constructed on the basis of 25 per cent contribution by the local people and 75 per cent by the Government. The work is executed under the supervision of the local National Extension Officers. I do not think there is any scope for abuse of funds.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : சராசரி ஒரு கிணறுக்கு என்ன செலவாகும் ?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : அது ஊரைப் பொறுத்திருக்கும். கோயம்புத்தூரில் கிணறு வெட்டுவதென்றால், செலவு அதிகமாக ஆகும். தஞ்சாவூரில் கொஞ்சம் செலவு குறைவாக இருக்கும்.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : இதை பி.டப்ளியூ.டி.-யில் செய்கிறார்களா, அல்லது லோகல் டெவலப்மெண்டு வொர்க்ஸின் கீழ் செய்கிறார்களா ?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : லோகல் டெவலப்மெண்டு வொர்க்ஸின் கீழ் தான் செய்யப்படுகிறது.

SRI M. SESHACHARIAR : Is it a fact that there is no provision for baling out water that, therefore, several contractors do not take up this work and that, consequently there is delay in the execution of the work?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I think, I should ask for notice.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : Is it not a fact that baling charges are also included in the estimates drawn up for sinking of wells? According to the rules of the Public Works Department, baling charges also should be included.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I do not think so, but on that I want notice.

[12th March 1959]

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : Since the hon. Member Sri Seshachariar referred to lack of facilities for baling out water and the consequent delay, I put that question.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : There was some lacuna there.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : While the sinking of a number of wells is appreciated, is the Hon. Minister satisfied that these wells are in good condition, that there is enough water which can be used by the people and that the water is not brackish?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It is too vague a question. If the hon. Member has put a question, whether in a particular well in a particular place there is no water or there is only brackish water, then we could look into it. When we have sunk about 1,500 wells in two years, it is presumed that the water is good.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : When I toured along with the Estimates Committee, I heard—I do not know how far it is true—that a particular well was sunk at a cost of Rs. 1,000 or Rs. 1,500, but that there was no water in it. On the day of inspection by some officer, two lorry loads of water were brought and the well was filled up. That is why I put this question so that the Hon. Minister might examine the matter.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I think the hon. Member may pass on the information regarding the name of that place and the well for the scrutiny of the Government.

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMY : வரட்சியாக இருக்கக்கூடிய குடி தண்ணீர்க் கிணறுகளிலே இலவசமாக போர் செட்டுகள் போட்டு தண்ணீர் வரவழைத்துக் கொடுக்க சர்க்கார் ஏற்பாடு செய்வார்களா? சர்க்காரிடம் போர் செட்டுகள் இருக்கின்றனவா?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : இதெல்லாம் குடி தண்ணீர்க் கிணறுகள். இங்கு இருக்கும் கணக்கில் 55 கிணறுகளுக்கு ஹாண்டு பம்புகள் வைத்திருப்பதாகத் தெரிகிறது. மற்ற செட்டுகள் போடப்பட்டதா என்பதற்கு இங்கு ஒன்றும் கணக்கு இல்லை.

SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAJ : The Hon. Minister has stated that people will have to contribute 25 per cent of the expenditure and that the Government will contribute the remaining 75 per cent. But what about the people who are not able to contribute on account of their poverty?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : In the case of backward communities and Harijans, only 10 per cent of the cost need be contributed by them, and this may be done in the shape of labour also.

Remuneration to teachers for cattle census work

* 138 Q.—SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state with reference to the answer

12th March 1959]

given to the Legislative Council Question No. 70 on 29th September 1956—

(a) whether the Government have since taken any decision in regard to the payment of remuneration to the teachers of Municipal Schools employed for cattle census work; and

(b) if so, whether any municipalities have paid the remuneration and how many?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : (a) Government have sanctioned the payment of remuneration to teachers who attended to the livestock enumeration work in this State at the rate of Rs. 20 each.

(b) Forty-one municipalities including the Corporation of Madras have paid the remuneration. 3-10 p.m.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : May I know whether in Srirangam, the municipality has paid it to the teachers?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Yes, Sir. They have paid the remuneration to 26 teachers, the amount being Rs. 550.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : I just like to know what the teachers have got to do with cattle census. Was it done with the object that they might get some money or some experience in this work?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Although it is cattle census, it is only men that have to do it. It was thought that teachers could do it and, therefore, their services were availed of.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : If I may repeat the question, while others might have been as well utilized for the purpose, in employing the teachers, was it the object of the Government to make them earn some money or was it the idea that this work could be done much more effectively by the teachers?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Sir, normally this work is executed by village karnams. As that was not adequate, the services of the teachers were also availed of.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : I am satisfied, Sir.

SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Sir, it was said by the Hon. Minister that 41 municipalities had paid the remuneration. I want to know what happened in other municipalities.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Remuneration was paid to teachers of municipalities where their services were requisitioned.

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMY : ஏன் கால்நடைக் கணக்குகளை வாத்தியார்கள் எடுக்க வேண்டுமென்று உத்தரவு போடப்பட்டது? ஏற்கனவே கிராமங்களில் கணக்குப் பிள்ளைகள், மணியக்காரர்கள் இருக்கும் பொழுது, வாத்தியார்களுக்கு ஏன் அந்த வேலை கொடுக்கப்பட்டது?

[12th March 1959]

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : அதைத்தான் நான் ஆங்கிலத்தில் சொன்னேன். சாதாரணமாகக் கிராமக் கர்ணாங்கள்தான் இந்த வேலையைச் செய்வார்கள். அவர்கள் போதவில்லை என்ற காரணத்தினால்தான், ஆசிரியர்களும் இந்தப் பணியில் ஈடுபடுத்தப்பட்டார்கள்.

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMY : அவர்கள் பேரில் நம்பிக்கை இல்லையா என்பதைத் தெரிந்துகொள்ள விரும்புகிறேன். அவர்கள் கொடுக்கும் கணக்கு சரியில்லையா?

Pension to teachers

* 139 Q.—**SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether any representations have been received by the Government about the delay in the grant of pension to teachers; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Instructions have been issued to the Special Officers of District Boards and Executive Authorities of Municipalities to give high priority to pension applications of teachers and dispose of them quickly.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Sir, are the Government aware of the fact that more time is taken in the gathering of the particulars and will they simplify the procedure so that even a year before the teacher is to retire, the particulars might be gathered and the pension paid within at least two or three months of his retirement?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Formerly, the practice was that breaks in service were also taken into consideration and it took a good deal of time to find out the breaks and the reasons for the breaks and then write to Government for condoning the breaks. But now we have passed general orders that all breaks could be condoned and that the actual service could be taken into consideration for calculation of pension. I hope this will facilitate the calculation of pension.

SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Will the Hon. Minister enlighten us on how many applications are actually pending at the time in the Education Department?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I do not have the figures with me now.

SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI : Sir, the giving of pension itself is prohibited even in cases where there is a break of service due to summer vacation intervening. It was said that there were some applications for condoning breaks. I want to know whether the Government have condoned them.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : That is what I said now. Now that a decision had been taken in regard to breaks, they need not be condoned, because without taking into account the

12th March 1959]

breaks, only the service could be taken into account and the pension calculated—it is not necessary hereafter to condone breaks.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : ஆசிரியர்களுக்கு சர்வீஸ் ரெஜிஸ்டர் இருக்கிறதா?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : இருக்கிறது.

Salaries to teachers

* 140 Q.—**SRI K. M. RAMASAMY GOUNDER :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that some of the teachers of High Schools under Private Management in Tirupattur, North Arcot district, have not been paid their salaries for the last many months; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor and the action taken by the Government?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (a) & (b) If the hon. Member refers to the Ramakrishna High School, Tirupattur, a statement^a is laid on the table of the House.

SRI K. M. RAMASAMY GOUNDER : In his report, the Director says that the school was not granted timely recognition in 1957–58 and that grants for the year were withheld. But subsequent to that, I received complaints that the teachers were not given their pay for the months of last October and November. Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to expedite calling for reports and to arrange for seeing that this kind of chronic delays are not allowed to recur in future at least?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : There was a change in the management also—the Correspondent was changed—and we are hoping that with this change matters would improve.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : May I know whether in spite of the change in correspondentship, the pay of teachers is still in arrears for over one year or it has been paid?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I do not have that information.

Bridge across Palar river

* 141 Q.—**SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Works be pleased to state with reference to answer to Legislative Council Question No. 9, dated 31st October 1957—

(a) whether the work on the construction of a bridge across the Palar at Arcot has since been completed;

(b) if not, the stage at which it now stands and when the work is likely to be completed;

(c) whether any extra cost has been incurred by the Government on the continuance of the staff beyond the target date fixed for completion of work; and

(d) if so, whether there is any proposal to recover it from the contractors?

[12th March 1959]

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : (a) & (b) The bridge work has been completed up to deck level. A part of wearing cost, hand railing revetment guide bund formation and the formation of approaches are in progress. The work is expected to be completed by March 1959.

(c) Yes, Sir. A sum of Rs. 42,909 has been incurred by Government as extra cost.

(d) No, Sir. The contractors have already been penalised to the extent of Rs. 5,000 whenever slackness on their part was noticed.

SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : As this extra cost referred to in clause (a) is evidently due to the delay on the part of the contractor, will the Government consider the desirability of enforcing strictly the penalties according to the agreement and recover this amount from the contractor?

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : We cannot say that. We have already penalised him.

SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Will the Hon. Minister have the matter examined in the light of what I have stated?

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : Yes.

Road between Tiruvallur and Arkonam

* 142 Q.—SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether any road is being formed between Tiruvallur and Arkonam; and

(b) if so, when the work was started and when it is likely to be completed?

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : (a) & (b) The work has not been commenced, as the grant promised by the Government of India was not made available. They have been addressed on the question of incurring expenditure initially from State funds to be recouped later from Central funds. Their reply is awaited.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Questions are over.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : ஸார், இங்கு கொடுக்கப் பட்டிருக்கும் தமிழ் வினாத்தாளில் ஒவ்வொரு கேள்விக்குக் கீழேயும் அ, ஆ, இ, ஈ, என்று எழுத்துக்களை குறிப்பிட்டிருக்கிறார்கள். இந்த எழுத்துக்கள் எதைக் குறிக்கின்றன என்று தெரிவிக்க வேண்டுகிறேன்.

MR. CHAIRMAN : They refer to the clauses.

12th March 1959]

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ANNOUNCEMENT *RE* ELECTION TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have to inform the House that the following six candidates have been nominated for election to the House Committee for the financial year 1959-60 :—

- 1 Sri T. Joghee Gowder.
- 2 „ John Asirvatham.
- 3 „ T. P. Srinivasavaradan.
- 4 „ G. Krishnamoorthy.
- 5 Srimathir Saraswathy Pandurangam.
- 6 Sri E. Janakirama Mudaliar.

As the number of candidates nominated is equal to the number of vacancies to be filled, viz., six, I hereby declare them to have been duly elected to the House Committee.

In addition to the above, under rule 171 (1) of the Madras Council Rules, I nominate the following two Members to be members of the said Committee :—

- 1 Sri L. S. Karayalar.
- 2 „ A. Subramanyam.

The Deputy Chairman shall be the Chairman of the Committee.

III.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS GRAMDAN VILLAGES (REPAYMENT OF DEBTS) BILL, 1959
(L.C. BILL NO. 3 OF 1959).

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg to move—

‘That the Madras Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill * 1959 (L.C. Bill No. 3 of 1959) be taken into consideration.’

Sir, the House is, no doubt, aware of the great Bhoodan Yagna Movement initiated by Sri Acharya Vinobh Bhave. Under his inspiration, a process of silent, bloodless revolution has been initiated in the rural areas which may ultimately result in the creation of a new social order. The Bhoodan Yagna Movement took a new turn with the Sarvodaya Sannelan held at Puri in March 1955. The movement for gifting lands in favour of the landless has logically culminated in the Gramdan or the gift of lands in favour of the village community. The House is also aware that our State has not been lagging behind the other States in respect of the Bhoodan and Gramdan Movement. So far, about 232 villages have been donated in our State for Gramdan and out of this in Madurai district alone about 203 villages have been given for Gramdan. It is expected that the example set by Madurai district will inspire other districts also to greater endeavours in behalf of this movement.

[Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

[12th March 1959]

The voluntary donation of lands for Bhoodan or of villages for Gramdan has brought in its wake many problems, for which Government have to find a solution. The House is aware that for regularizing and facilitating the transfer and settlement of lands donated for the Bhoodan Yagna Movement for the benefit of the landless poor or for community purposes, Government enacted the Madras Bhoodan Yagna Act in 1958. This Act, though intended to deal primarily with Bhoodan lands, also contains some special provisions in regard to Gramdan. That Act has provided for the vesting of all Gramdan lands in any Gramdan village in the Sarvodaya Panchayat constituted for the village. The Sarvodaya Panchayat will have possession of and manage all such lands. The Madras State Bhoodan Yagna Board has also been formed as envisaged under the Act for carrying out the various purposes of the Act.

3-20
p.m.

A survey of Gramdan villages made by a Special Committee appointed by Government has disclosed that in Gramdan villages the problem of indebtedness of the agriculturists is very acute and facilities for credit should be provided urgently. The Government sanctioned about Rs. 20 lakhs for granting special takkavi loans to the agriculturists for cultivation operations, etc., in the several Gramdan villages in the State. Ten Sarvodaya Co-operative Societies have also been formed in Madurai district. Government have given them financial grants and other types of assistance to the tune of over six lakhs of rupees to enable them to undertake the improvement of the concerned villages like deepening of wells, sinking of new wells, installation of pumpsets, purchase of agricultural implements and bulls and also for the improvement of other village industries.

The problem of clearance of prior debts has, however, to be tackled. It has been brought to the notice of Government that the villagers are being harassed by the creditors on the mere fact of their having consented to make 'Gramdan' of their lands. It has also been reported that execution proceedings in certain cases have been taken and in some cases even the extreme step of imprisonment of defaulters has been undertaken. The Government are anxious to prevent the cultivators in Gramdan villages from being harassed, so that the agricultural operations in these villages may not be hampered. The Government wish that the Gramdan Movement which is making progress in this State should not be jeopardised by the creditors resorting precipitately to Court for realization of debts and they also want that facilities should be provided for discharge of prior debts in easy instalments. The question was, therefore, considered whether any special arrangements were necessary for imposing a moratorium for a specified period on the repayment of debts in Gramdan villages. It was felt that the moratorium should apply to all persons in Gramdan villages and that it could not be restricted only to those who have donated their lands because till all the formalities provided under the Madras Bhoodan Yagna Act, 1958, are completed, it will not

12th March 1959] [Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

be easy to establish whether a particular person has made Gramdan of all his lands. The creditors in Gramdan villages need not fear that they have lost their money as suitable provision for the repayment of debts has been made after the expiry of the period of moratorium.

The Government have decided that the moratorium should be in the form of barring the institution of suits and making of applications for execution of decrees in respect of debts due from persons residing in any of the villages specified in the Schedule to the Bill for a period of one year against their person and property—movable or immovable. The debtors in the scheduled villages are permitted to pay the debts in three equal annual instalments after the expiry of the period of one year. Debts due to the Central Government and the State Government and the Co-operative Societies will be exempt from the proposed moratorium. The Bill when enacted will be applicable to the villages specified in the Schedule but the Government may, by notification, include any other village in the Schedule.

Sir, I have now briefly indicated the objects of the Bill. I have no doubt that this beneficent piece of legislation will provide respite to the agriculturists in Gramdan villages and enable them to concentrate on stepping up agricultural production without harassment by their creditors. I now commend the motion for the acceptance of the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Motion moved—

That the Madras Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 (L.C. Bill No. 3 of 1959) be taken into consideration.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Mr. Chairman, the motive with which this Bill has been drafted is a laudable one. But there are certain principles which have to be looked into and we have to consider them finally. When a moratorium was introduced some time back when Rajaji was the Chief Minister, we felt that the principle of moratorium so far as these contracts were concerned, could not be justified except in times of great depression. The principle of contract has always been regarded in jurisprudence as a sacred one. Once the State begins to interfere generally there will be a feeling of unsettlement in the minds of all people. Credit will be much affected. Therefore, non-interference with free contracts has always been accepted in legal jurisprudence for a long time. Of course, you may ask, 'Have not Courts interfered and done justice to the people?' It is true. In the case of charging of usurious interest and in the case of coercion, interference by Court has been made. But so far as normal contracts are concerned, Courts have been very loath and the judicial system of any country has been loath to interfere with the freedom of contract and sacredness of contract.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Courts have been really granting permission for payment in instalments.

[12th March 1959]

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Order XX, rule 11 of the Civil Procedure Code gives ample power to the Courts to grant permission to pay the debt in instalments. That was one of the reasons mentioned by us when we opposed the moratorium when Rajaji introduced it. When it was made an Act and insolvency was also legalised, he himself, when he was a nominated Member here, said, ' We are going too far.' I remember all those things. Therefore it is that I am stating that that principle must be adhered to by the Government. At the time of passing the decree, the Courts have got absolute power to fix instalments and now we are forcing the Courts in any and every instance to grant payment in instalments. That is the substance of the whole matter. Can we do that and should we do that? That is the simple problem before us. I should not be misunderstood as opposing Gramdan or in any way minimising the work of Acharya Vinobha Bhave. I was one of those who were most enthusiastic at the time of Bhoodan Yagna. You must remember this also. Let us look at the definition of ' Gramdan village '. No definition is given in this particular Bill about it because it refers to the previous Act. ' Gramdan ' means any revenue village or part thereof in which not less than two-thirds of the number of persons owning lands donate all their lands for Gramdan and the total extent of the lands so donated amount in the aggregate to not less than one half of the total extent of the lands. So, the other half may remain and one-third of the persons may not have done anything. You must remember that also. You must remember also, ' When we are giving relief, are we giving too much relief? When we interfere with contracts, are we not interfering too much with them? ' There is, of course, the principle that we must give relief. The Courts have recognized it and have interfered with the freedom of contract. Two hundred and thirty-two hamlets are included in the Schedule and we say that the operation of contracts shall be stayed. Are we not going too far in doing that? That is a matter for the Hon. Minister to consider.

There is also another point. They say that the creditor is harassing the agriculturists. That is always the allegation before the Courts. But Courts have to consider always whether the creditor is actually harassing the debtor. Whenever the creditor sues the debtor, the debtor comes and says that there is harassment. The very fact that you demand your loan is interpreted as harassment. The Legislature should not give too much importance to what they call harassment by creditors. There may be harassment in individual cases. I am not saying that creditors are angels. Creditors are creditors unless you say that there should be no borrowing. In regard to that, Shakespeare says that borrowing dulls the edge of husbandry and lending loses both money and friend. (An hon. Member : Neither a borrower nor a lender be.) Therefore, this is inevitable unless we adopt the Soviet principle that everything belongs to the State. We have also to consider whether agricultural credit will be affected. The co-operative institutions, from the reports that we have got up till now, have been able

12th March 1959] [Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar]

to give credit only to the extent of 14 or 15 per cent and the rest of the credit is given only bby brethren agriculturists. Therefore, in those cases at least we must remember that we should not interfere with contracts. Suppose for the cultivation of land, one has borrowed money. In that case also, the Government want to stay the hands of the creditor. Why should the creditor's hands be stayed? Suppose, in order to defeat or delay repayment to the creditor, one has made Gramdan . . .

3-30
p.m.

SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAJ: One could not have anticipated.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Could not have anticipated what, I do not understand.

SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAJ: That the Legislature will make a law like this.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Nobody could have anticipated such a legislation. (Laughter.) There I agree with Sri A. M. Allapichai. Gramdan has done good. I am not suggesting anything against Gramdan. Let us not defame anybody. There may be one or two cases where it is better to give away lands so that creditors may not come and give trouble. In that particular instance, we should not stay the hand of the creditor. This is an important point that we must remember, when we make a law like this. As a general principle, it may be all right. I am not saying that it is hard. But the other thing also we must remember. We must not interfere too lightly with contracts. Even though the Government may have reports that there is harassment, the Government should appoint an officer to go into the whole question. The Government should be satisfied that there is 'harassment' only by the creditors and not by the debtors. Therefore it is I would suggest the appointment of an officer to enquire into the matter. The Government should take power to notify on what day this legislation will come into force. They should investigate the position. I agree that the Government have received reports. What these reports are, we know too well. I have never trusted these reports. In connection with the Tiruchirappalli Kaiaeruvaram and Mattuvaram Bill, we sent a Revenue Board Officer to investigate the matter. That is within the experience of all. The Revenue Board Officer reported that the kaiaeruvaramdars were only servants, while before his report, the kaiaeruvaramdars were considered tenants. I am not here saying anything against individuals. But an officer of some standing must be sent to find out the position. These lands go to Sarvodaya Panchayats. The whole land vests in them. When once it is in panchayat, he is free not to pay anything. This will become a dangerous pattern of our State, where there will be no application of law of contract. I am feeling that this legislation ought not to be lightly undertaken in this country. The Government should satisfy themselves that without this legislation things will come to a pass, that there will be no cultivation, etc. Only in that emergency, the Government might think of applying this

[Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar] [12th March 1959]

legislation. I would like to say that this legislation should not come into force at once. But the Government should take power to appoint the date by notification. If they think that it is absolutely necessary to apply this legislation to any particular place, let them. Otherwise, let them not. This is one point.

Repayment in the case of every creditor should not be stayed. That is, a moratorium of a general kind should not be given. Suppose there are public bodies who have given loans. There are also co-operative societies. The Government are always in favour of the co-operative societies, because the whole of the Third Five-Year Plan is concerned with co-operation. Co-operation seems to be writ large not only on the portals of offices but also on the heads of individuals. Even yesterday I read a statement that co-operation up till now had not succeeded as much as it was expected to. For the last fifty years we have tried co-operation. Instead of talking of co-operation, we must find out why co-operation has not been a success. Co-operative societies are exempted in all these moratoria Here too . . .

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR: The hon. Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar will kindly discriminate between credit co-operative societies and service co-operative societies. The hon. Member talks of credit co-operative societies. Now we have come to service co-operatives.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Not mere service, but now it is multi-purpose co-operative societies. What is meant by multi-purpose co-operative society? It includes not only credit societies but also service societies.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR: The hon. Member cannot say that service co-operative must fail.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN: அவருக்கு நல்ல பிரச்சனை உண்டு, அதைப் பற்றி. . .

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: You have not known what a service co-operative society is. You write an article that multi-purpose service co-operative society is not very dangerous. Let us take things as legislators. Let us not be carried away by slogans. You would not have been a successful judge, if you . . .

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR: I had been and I took both law and . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN: The hon. Member will please address the Chair.

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR: When he refers to me, I must speak.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please do not interrupt.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Let him, Sir, because things will become clearer and there will also be some fun. I am not objecting to co-operative societies. My friend thought that I

12th March 1959] [Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar]

was objecting to it. What I am saying is that instead of exempting only co-operative societies, those public bodies, which give loans for the sake of helping people, may also be exempted. It is for that purpose that I am mentioning this. But he went on a different track. What I am saying is that there are loans given by many public bodies also. We should not fetter the hands of all public bodies. We can order stay in the case of loans on usurious rates of interest or others the conditions of which are, on the face of it, very bad. That is why Courts have been given power and discretion to give relief on a full consideration of the circumstances. This is always done in Courts of Law. But they never said, 'You should not repay your debts'. That is why I say that the measure should not be applied immediately, but only after a thorough investigation by a very reliable officer of the conditions prevailing at present. If the Hon. Minister is satisfied that the people deserve moratorium, then he may apply this measure. I am not entirely opposed to this measure. As a matter of fact, I have given notice of amendments with a view to making clear what the exemptions ought to be. It is just a matter for the Hon. Minister to consider and decide.

* SRI P. S. KRISHNASWAMY AYYANGAR : Mr. Chairman, Sir, the objects of the Bill are elaborately given in the Preamble itself. It is intended to promote the Sarvodaya movement in a way, and it is intended to create an atmosphere which would induce people to donate lands for Gramdan. The Government want to give a lot of encouragement to Sarvodaya workers in the work they are doing now. In that respect it is a welcome Bill.

I have got a doubt to be cleared in regard to this Bill. It is very wide in its scope. It says that anybody residing in a Gramdan village will derive the benefits of the provisions of this legislation. This will enable some persons who want to postpone payment of debts to go to and reside in a Gramdan village. (Laughter.) It does not say that he should possess lands there or that he should have donated land for Gramdan. (Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar : As people have gone to Pondicherry, they will take residence in a Gramdan village.) If the Gramdan village is a health resort, a millionaire may take it into his head to set up his residence there. He may have a very large estate outside the Gramdan village. At the same time, he may be indebted. But having got into the village and having taken up his residence there, under the provisions of this Bill, he would be exempt from making repayment of his debt. He would have all the benefits available under this measure. Again in those days when child marriage was prohibited in this State, people went to foreign States like Pondicherry and other French possessions and there they had their minor children married and then they came over here. It was only when the marriage took place within the State that it was considered an offence. But they escaped punishment by going out of the State and having the marriage celebrated in a foreign State. In the same way, a person who wants to delay the repayment of the debt

[Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar] [12th March 1959]

may give up his residence in a particular village and may resort to residence in one of the Gramdan villages and then he will become entitled to all the benefits of the provisions of the Act. So, it is so wide. Is it the intention of the Government that such wide scope should be given with regard to all debts, whether they are debts of agriculturists or debts of persons who own large estates outside the Gramdan villages? Again, in my opinion, certain verbal alterations in the Bill and certain insertions also may be necessary.

Now, 'Gramdan village' is not defined in this Bill. No doubt, it might have been defined in the Bhoodan Act. But, we must definitely say here that a 'Gramdan village' means a defined area or has got the same meaning as is assigned to it in the Bhoodan Act or, it should be definitely defined here. This is a lacuna.

No doubt, we can understand the word 'State'. 'State' usually means the Madras State. But, in many other Acts it is stated that 'State' means the Madras State. Here in the Bill under consideration, in many places, there is reference to State, but it has not been defined in the Bill. That is a lacuna which has got to be removed.

Clause 4 (1) states that the debt of a resident of Gramdan village may be repaid in three equal annual instalments on or before the 1st July of every year succeeding the period specified in the previous clause. It also says that the payment of any one instalment should be accompanied with payment of interest due in respect of that instalment. It is stated there, '... with the interest due on such instalment up to that date.' 'Up to that date' would mean only up to '1st July'. Payment may be made much earlier than 1st July. Do you mean even then, that is, even though he may make payment before 1st of July, interest will have to be included up to 1st July and the entire interest will have to be paid? Therefore, instead of the expression, 'up to that date', the better wording would be 'up to the date of payment'. Now, suppose he makes payment of a particular instalment. That amount should be accompanied with interest due on that amount up to the date of payment of that instalment and not up to 1st July. To make this position clear, we will have to say in the place of the present expression, that he will have to pay interest up to the date of the payment of the particular instalment. Now, a creditor may say that interest has not been calculated up to 1st July and that, therefore, the amount falls short of the interest that is actually due under the provisions of this clause. No doubt, the amount will be a small one. All the same, cantankerous litigants would take an unreasonable attitude and they would take shelter under the terms of the provision contained here. There should be no room for such a thing.

Under sub-clause (5) of clause 4, a Court may grant more favourable terms than those provided for in this Bill. This is what it says. '... under such terms and conditions as may be more

12th March 1959] [Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar]

favourable to the debtor than those provided for in this section . . . ' Instead of the expression 'under such terms . . . ', it would be better to use the expression ' . . . with conditions and with terms more favourable '.

Sub-clause (2) of clause 5 permits a debtor to deposit into Court the amount due in respect of a particular instalment. Then, he may apply for recording part-satisfaction of the debt. It says, ' . . . Where any such application is made, the Court shall make an order recording part-satisfaction of the debt if the amount deposited is the correct amount . . . ' On the other hand, it makes no provision for notice and hearing of objections. The clause only states, ' where any such application is made, the Court shall make an order . . . ' It makes no provision for the issue of notice to the creditor. That is an omission which will have to be filled up. In every case, if there are two parties and if one party comes in with an application, the other party should certainly be heard with regard to the application made. Therefore, notice will have to be given to the other party, his objections should be heard and then the order will have to be passed. We have sub-clause (5) of clause 5 which states, ' the procedure laid down in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act V of 1908), for the trial of suits shall, as far as may be, apply to the applications under this section'. They may say that there is this provision. But, it relates only to trial of suits. It does not say that it will apply to the entire proceedings connected with the suit. Trial is a quite different thing and is detachable from the earlier portions. Therefore, we cannot take shelter under that and justify the absence of a provision for the issue of notice under sub-clause (2). In sub-clause (4), provision for the issue of notice to the creditor is made. But that is all. When notice is issued to a creditor, does it follow that the Court can straightway pass an order? That is what we have to consider here. Is not the Court bound to hear the objections of the other side, that is, of the creditor to whom notice has been given? The sub-clause simply says that notice shall be given. Can the Court proceed further without hearing objections? Certainly it should not. Therefore, I say, we must change the wording like this. ' Notice shall be given to the creditor, his objections shall be heard and then the Court shall pass a reasonable order . . . ' That would be the correct procedure. Therefore, whatever terms will have to be added must be added here.

Clause 6 says, ' An appeal shall lie from an order made by a Court under section 5, as if such an order related to the execution, discharge or satisfaction of a decree within the meaning of section 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908' It is no doubt a question relating to execution. But, every question relating to execution will not be appealable. Clause 6 says that every order made with regard to the amount of deposit will be appealable. That will be going against the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code. Suppose a matter or claim is cognizable only by a Small Causes

[Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar] [12th March 1959]

Court. In such cases, no appeal has been provided for in the Civil Procedure Code and so, this will go contra to the provisions in the Civil Procedure Code. Here, all matters which will be deemed to be matters relating to execution will be taken in appeal. That means, even claims of a Small Causes nature will be taken in appeal. That will be against the provisions contained in the Civil Procedure Code. Will the Government be within their powers to enact such a provision? I think that they will not be. Therefore, I wish to point out that it requires some modification. With these few words, I conclude my speech, Sir.

3.50 p.m. SRI M. SESHACHARIAR : Mr. Chairman, I wish to say only one thing about this. There is already some doubt about residence raised by the hon. Member who preceded me. Where a person has given away the entire village and resides there, he must be treated as a resident of the village and that has to be agreed to. Unless it is made clear, it may lead to persons who do nothing and who have nothing to do with a Gramdan village going and remaining there in order to secure all these advantages. Some provision has to be made in this respect. It should also be made clear that a person should be resident on and from a particular date. Unless it is restricted to a definite date, difficulties will arise. This must also be done. A donor may donate an entire village or a part of it and live in another village. Because he had donated the entire village, the creditors might rush to Court and proceed against him for recovery of their dues from him. Because he lives in another village, the benefits of this Bill should not be denied to him. The Statement of Objects and Reasons is very clear on the point that the Bill is primarily intended for the purpose of helping those who have donated villages or parts thereof for Gramdan purpose. As it is, if a person lives in another village, he will not be entitled to this benefit. An amendment here also seems to be absolutely necessary.

* SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Mr. Chairman, the Hon. Minister has explained the objects of the Bill and the principle underlying it has been accepted by the hon. Member Mr. Balasubramanya Ayyar. The hon. Member raised various legal points which I am sure the Hon. Minister will consider. The hon. Member Mr. P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar has suggested certain improvements to the Bill. Especially he was saying that the Gramdan villages should not be made places for others to migrate and reside in order to get the benefits of this Bill. I am sure it is not the intention of the Government to facilitate such migration. Only those who were in the villages at the time the Bill was passed would be eligible for the benefits of Gramdan villager and not those who go and reside there later. We all agree that temporary relief should be given to all those who live in these gifted villages by way of moratorium for a specified period as provided for in the Bill. I feel that the relief that is sought to be given to indebted persons in these Gramdan villages should be extended to donors who are

12th March 1959] [Sri T. Purushotham]

resident in or outside the villages. All Gramdan donors may not be resident in the particular village where they have donated the lands. They might have donated the lands and might be resident in Madras or in the adjoining villages. They should have the benefit of this Moratorium Act. I know of a particular case in which Gramdan of an entire village was made due to the efforts of a certain gentleman. But the donor is living in an adjoining village. It would appear to be fair that such persons are given some relief. I know donors of lands, having given away their lands, are having all sorts of difficulties, as mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons. They are subjected to more than ordinary pressure for repayment of debts. I, therefore, feel that the landlords who have surrendered their rights over the land in favour of the village community should have the benefit of this Act. They may not be resident in the same villages. I have given notice of an amendment to that effect and I hope the Government will have this aspect of the question examined.

* SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAJ : Mr. Chairman, I think some of the suggestions made by the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition and some of our other friends here are very sound. 'Gramdan villager' may mean anybody. Anybody who goes there may form part of the village community. So, we must have some basis on which to determine who is resident. If we say 'people who have donated lands to the movement', it is all right. But there is residence qualification. When a man does some good, the whole village benefits and that man must be helped. (Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar : At the cost of the creditor.) I do not think that is the idea of the Government. I think if we apply this moratorium to persons who have made donations of land for Gramdan, then that will be an encouragement for others to make donations of land. But suppose a man has donated nothing and he is going to enjoy. We must check it.

Then, Sir, I have always felt that the Government must set good examples for others to follow in anything that they do. They say that moneys due to private parties could be paid after four years and that this provision will not apply to dues to the Central Government, the State Government and to co-operative societies. As far as the Central Government are concerned, we are not in a position to legislate. As far as the State Government are concerned, when it is stated that the Gramdan donors should not be troubled by private people who have lent them money, it is much more the responsibility of the State Government to see to the postponement of collection of the Government dues. As a matter of fact, that is how I feel. When the Government feel that the Act must be there in the interests of people who donate lands, they must themselves show the way first.

About the other point made by my hon. Friend, Sir, a man might have donated lands and yet reside in another place. He might be indebted and he must be helped. I also feel that all that

[Sri A. M. Allapichai] [12th March 1959]

the hon. Member Mr. Balasubramanya Ayyar said about principles of law is correct. Laws are made by persons who are in power. Generally they make laws to safeguard their own interests. This has been so from time immemorial. What was a good law in the past has now become a bad law. As time changes, we must also modify our laws. Therefore, we cannot say merely because at a certain time in the past we regarded contracts to be sacred, changes should not be made. As time goes on, we must change and cannot keep anything eternal. These are my only suggestions.

4 p.m. * SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI : கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே இந்த மசோதாவை நான் மனப்பூர்வமாக வரவேற்கின்றேன். நமது எதிர்க்கட்சி உப-தலைவர் அவர்கள் பேசும்போது, “கூட்டுறவு” என்ற வார்த்தைக்கே ஏதோ மனதில் ஒரு வெறுப்பு உணர்ச்சியை உண்டாக்கிக்கொண்டு பேசியதுபோல் தோன்றியது. (ஸ்ரீ K. பாலசுப்பிரமணிய அய்யர் : அதெல்லாம் ஒன்றும் இல்லை.) கிராமதான இயக்கம் நமக்கு வரப்போகிற கூட்டுறவு விவசாயச் சங்கங்களுக்கு ஒரு முன்மாதிரியாக இருக்கப் போகிறது என்று நான் சொல்லிக்கொள்ள விரும்புகிறேன். இது எப்படி வேலை செய்கிறது என்று நாம் கவனிக்கவேண்டும். கனம் அங்கத்தினர் நினைப்பதைப்போல் உத்தியோகஸ்தர்களைக் கொண்ட ஒரு சங்கத்தை நாம் அமைக்கப் போவதில்லை. அம்மாதிரியான ஒரு சமுதாயத்தை நிறுவப் போவதில்லை. மக்களாகவே சேர்ந்து அவர்களாகவே ஒரு சமுதாயத்தை அமைத்துக் கொள்ளக்கூடிய லட்சியத்தை அடிப்படையாகக் கொண்டதான் கிராமதான இயக்கம் இன்று வந்திருக்கிறது. அதை அடிப்படையாகக் கொண்டு தான் இந்த மசோதாவை நாம் இங்கே பிரேரேபித்திருக்கிறோம்.

நமது மதிப்பிற்குரிய திரு. கிருஷ்ணசுவாமி அய்யங்கார் அவர்கள் பேசும்போது, “கிராமதான கிராமத்திற்கு வெளியிலே இருந்து வந்து குடியேறிக் கொண்டு இதனுடைய பலனை அனுபவிப்பார்கள்” என்று சொன்னார்கள். ஆனால், நான் இன்னும் ஒன்றுகூடச் சேர்த்துச் சொல்லுகிறேன். மசோதாவிலே இருக்கும்படியே வைத்துக்கொண்டால், இந்தக் கிராமதான கிராமத்திலே இருக்கும் வியாபாரி, அங்கே ஒரு பெரிய தொழில் நடத்துவார்கூட, ஒரு பஸ் ஆரோட்டர் கூட இந்த வசதியைப் பெற்றுவிடக் கூடும், அங்கே குடியிருப்பதன் காரணமாக. ஆகவே, “கிராமவாசி” என்பதை நல்ல முறையிலே திருத்தி அமைக்க வேண்டுமென்று நான் விரும்புகிறேன். அதனால்தான், “அந்தக் கிராமத்திலே இருந்துகொண்டு விவசாயம் செய்பவர்களாக இருக்க வேண்டும்” என்று நான் திருத்தம் கொடுத்திருக்கிறேன். முன்னாலே செய்த மசோதாவிலே கூட, “விவசாயம் செய்பவர்களாக இருக்க வேண்டும்” என்று சில நிபந்தனை (ப்ரொவிசோ)களையெல்லாம் போட்டோம். ஆகவே, கிராமதானம் கொடுப்பதில் கூட சில ஏமாற்றுப் பேர்வழிகள் இருக்கிறார்கள். என்னுடைய அனுபவத்திலிருந்து ஒரு உதாரணம் சொல்லுகிறேன். பூமிதானம் வாங்கச் சென்ற சமயத்தில் ஒரு மிட்டாதார் சட்ட நடவடிக்கைகள் கோர்ட்டிலே இருக்கின்றன, அதனால் தந்துவிடுவதாகச் சொன்னார். மிஞ்சியிருக்கும் நிலத்திற்கு இதனால் அனுகூலம் கிடைக்குமென்று கருதினர். “தகராறு உள்ள நிலத்தின் ஒரு பகுதியைப் பூமிதானம் கொடுப்பதன் மூலம் என்னுடைய தொல்லைகள் எல்லாம் விடுபட்டுப்போகும் என்று கருதுகிறேன்” என்று சொன்னார். இதிலே கொஞ்சம் நிலத்தைக் கொடுத்தவிட்டு மற்ற செளகரியங்களை எல்லாம் அடைய வேண்டுமென்று நினைப்பவர்களும் இல்லாமல் இல்லை. நம் நாட்டிலே அப்படி ஒருவர் சொன்னார். அதை உதாரணமாக வைத்துக் கொண்டு தான் சொல்லுகிறேன்.

ஒரு கிராமத்தில் பூமியைத் தானம் கொடுத்தவர் வேறு கிராமத்தில் சொத்து உடையவராகக்கூட இருக்கலாம். “ஆகையால் விவசாயி” என்றால், அந்தக் கிராமத்தில் வசிக்கும் விவசாயியாக இருக்கவேண்டும். அந்தக்

12th March 1959]

[Sri B. K. Nallaswami]

கிராமத்திலுள்ள நிலங்களுக்குப் பாதுகாப்பு வேண்டும் என்று நான் சொன்னேன். வேறு சொத்தை வேறு இடத்தில் வைத்துக்கொண்டு அவருக்கும் விதிவிலக்கு அளிப்பதென்றால், அதில் நியாயமே இருக்க முடியாது. விவசாயம் செய்யக் கூடியவர்களாக இருந்து அந்தக் கிராமத்திலே இருப்பவர்களாகவும் வேறு சொத்து இல்லாதவர்களாகவும் இருந்தால்தான், பாதுகாப்பு அளிக்கவேண்டும். அந்தக் கிராமத்திற்கும் அந்த நிலங்களுக்கும் பாதுகாப்பு அளிக்கவேண்டுமே தவிர “தானம் செய்தார்” என்பதற்காக இந்த மசோதா கொண்டுவந்து, தானம் செய்தவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம் பாதுகாப்பு அளிக்கவேண்டுமென்று சொன்னால், அது தவறான எண்ணம் என்று சொல்கிறேன். கிராமத்திலே வசித்துக்கொண்டு விவசாயம் செய்பவர்களாக இருக்கவேண்டும். வெளியே வேறு சொத்து இல்லாமல் இருக்கவேண்டும். நான் ஒரு திருத்தம் கொடுத்திருக்கிறேன். அதை ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளும்படி கேட்டுக்கொண்டு என்னுடைய உரையை முடித்துக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.

***DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN :** மதிப்பிற்குரிய தலைவர் அவர்களே, இந்த மசோதாவைப் பார்க்கும்போது, நான் படித்த ஒரு கதை நினைவுக்கு வருகிறது. அந்தக் கதையின்படி யாரோ இரண்டு பேர் (அண்ணன்-தம்பி) வழக்காடிக்கொண்டிருக்கும் நேரத்திலே, கடவுளிடத்தில் இரண்டு தேங்காய்களைத் தனித்தனியாக உடைக்கப்போனார்கள். கடவுள் பார்த்து, “நீங்கள் உங்களுக்குள்ளாக இருக்கும் வழக்கை முடித்துக்கொண்டு, பிறகு தேங்காயை உடைக்க வாருங்கள் : அப்பொழுதுதான் உங்களுடைய தேங்காயை ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளக்கூடும்” என்று சொன்னாராம். அதுபோல், இந்த மசோதாவை நோக்கும்போது எனக்கு ஒரு கருத்து உண்டாகிறது. கடன்பட்டவர்களெல்லாம், அவர்களுடைய நிலத்தைக் கிராமதானத்திற்கு வழங்க முன் வந்த போது, அதைக் கடன் கொடுத்தவருக்கும் கடன் வாங்கியவருக்கும் இடையே வழக்கு இருக்கும் போது, அரசாங்கம், “உங்கள் வழக்கைத் தீர்த்துக்கொண்டு நிலத்தைக் கொடுங்கள்” என்று சொல்லியிருந்தால், எவ்வளவு நன்றாக இருந்திருக்கும் என்று எனக்குத் தோன்றிற்று. (சிரிப்பு.)

அதே நேரத்தில், இந்த அரசாங்கம் தன்னைப் பொறுத்த வரையில், இந்திய அரசாங்கத்தைப் பொறுத்த வரையில், வேண்டிய பாதுகாப்புகளை யெல்லாம் குறிப்பிட்ட மசோதாவினால் நன்றாக, ஒழுங்காகச் செய்துகொள்ள முனைந்திருக்கிறது. மத்திய அரசாங்கத்திற்கோ இந்த மாநில அரசாங்கத்திற்கோ உரிய கடன்களை, பணங்களை வசூலித்துக்கொள்வதற்குத் தடை இந்தக் கிராமதானச் சட்டத்தினாலே ஏற்படவில்லை. ஆனால், தனியார் பணம் கொடுத்திருந்தால் மாதிரி, அதை வசூலிப்பதிலே இந்தத் தடை ஏற்படுகிறது. எனவே, அரசாங்கம் தனக்கு உரிய பணத்தை, தனக்கு வரவேண்டிய பணத்தை வசூலிப்பதற்கு எவ்வளவு பாதுகாப்புகள் செய்து கொண்டிருக்கிறதோ அவ்வளவு பாதுகாப்புகளைக் குடிமக்கள் அரசாங்கமாக உள்ள இந்த அரசாங்கம் ஏன் குடிமக்களுக்கு நலக்க் கூடாது என்பதுதான் என்னுடைய கேள்வி.

அதற்கு அடுத்தபடியாக, நில அடமானக் கூட்டுறவு வங்கிகளுக்குக் கொடுக்கும் பாதுகாப்புகளை ஏன் மற்ற வங்கிகளுக்கும் கொடுக்கக் கூடாது என்பது என்னுடைய கேள்வி. நில அடமான வங்கிகள் மாதிரி இந்தப் பாதுகாப்புக்களைப் பெற்றுக்கொண்டு, தங்களுக்கு உரிய கடன்களைக் காலத்தில் வசூல் செய்வதற்கு வழி வகை காணுகிறபோது, ஏன் மற்ற வங்கிகளுக்கும் அந்த உரிமையை நலக்க் கூடாது என்பது என்னுடைய கேள்வி. சில வங்கிகள் தங்களுக்கு உரிய கடன்கள் திரும்ப வரும் காலத்தை அனுசரித்து, அதை எதிர்பார்த்து அதற்கு வேண்டிய பண வசதிகளை (resources) அமைத்துக்கொள்வனவையுமிருக்கும். அப்படியிருக்கும்போது, அவற்றைப் பாதிக்காத முறையில் ஏன் இந்தச் சட்டத்தைச் செய்யக் கூடாது, அதற்கு உரிய திருத்தங்களை ஏன் செய்யக் கூடாது என்று கேட்டு அமருகிறேன்.

[12th March 1959]

SRI A. GAJAPATHY NAYAGAR : மன்றத் தலைவர் அவர்களே, ஜம்புது லட்சம் ஏக்கராக்கள் வரையில் தானமாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன என்று காலையில் பத்திரிகையில் படித்தேன். “கிராமதானம்” என்று சொல்லும்போது, அது யாருக்குக் கொடுக்கின்றார்கள், அதைச் செய்யவேண்டிய முறை என்ன, எந்த வகையிலே கையாள வேண்டும் என்பதற்குத்தான் சட்டம் வேண்டும். இவ்வளவு நிலத்தை வாங்கிக் கொண்டு சட்டம் செய்யாவிட்டால் அது தானம் கொடுத்தவர்களுக்கும் சரியாக வராது, தானம் பெற்றவர்களுக்கும் சரியாக வராது. சட்டம் செய்வது முக்கியம் என்று அறிய வேண்டும்.

இரண்டாவது, நம்முடைய பிரதமர் பல சந்தர்ப்பங்களில் பேசும் போது, “எதிர்கால விவசாயத்தை நாம் நல்ல முறையிலே செய்ய வேண்டுமென்றால், அது கூட்டுப் பண்ணையாகவும் சர்வீஸ் கோவாப்பரேட்டிவாகவும் இருந்தால்தான் முடியும்” என்று சொல்கிறார். பழைய காலத்தில் கூட்டுறவு பல முறைகளில் இருந்திருக்கலாம். அதற்குக் காரணம் எனக்குத் தெரியும். பல காரணங்கள் உண்டு. சிலபேர் கையில் சிக்குண்டு அவர்களின் கைப்பொம்மையாக அதை வைத்துக்கொண்டிருந்திருக்கலாம். ஆனால், இப்போது அவைகளை ஒழித்து, விவசாயிகளுக்கு நல்ல விதைகள், உரம், மற்ற வசதிகள் செய்துகொடுக்க வரும்போது, சர்வீஸ் கோவாப்பரேட்டிவ்களை வைத்துக்கொண்டு சிந்திக்கவேண்டுமே ஒழிய மற்றவைகளைப் பற்றிச்சிந்திக்கவேண்டிய நேரம் இல்லை. சர்வீஸ் கோவாப்பரேட்டிவ்வை வழக்கத்திற்குக் கொண்டுவருவதற்கு நடைமுறைக்குக் கொண்டுவருவதற்கு இந்தச் சட்டம் அவசியம் என்று நான் தெரிவித்துக் கொள்கிறேன். ஆகையால், இந்தச் சட்டத்தை நாமெல்லோரும் வரவேற்க வேண்டும்.

“ரெஸிடென்ஸ்” என்றால் சட்டத்திலே இடம் இருக்கிறது. குறிப்பிட்ட கால அளவிலே இருந்தால்தான் “ரெஸிடென்ஸ்” என்று கொள்ள வேண்டும். ஆகையால், எங்கேயெல்லாம் குறை வருகிறதோ, அதை அவ்வப்போது திருத்திக்கொள்ளலாம். இப்போதே எந்த எந்தக் குறைகள் வரும், எந்த எந்தக் குறைகள் வராமல் இருக்கும், அந்தக் குறைகளைத் தீர்க்க முடியுமா என்ற பிரச்சனை வேண்டியதில்லை. நடைமுறையில் கொண்டுவந்து குறைகளை அப்போதைக்கப்போது தீர்த்துக்கொண்டு போவதுதான் நல்ல முறை என்று சொல்லிக்கொண்டு இந்த மசோதாவை நான் மனமார வரவேற்கிறேன்.

SRI P. T. RAJAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, with your permission, I move—

‘That the Madras Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 (L.C. Bill No. 3 of 1959) be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members with instructions to report on the 18th of March 1959 :—

The Hon. Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam.

The Hon. Sri R. Venkataraman.

Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar.

Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar.

Sri A. Gajapathy Nayagar.

Sri T. Purushotham.

Sri B. K. Nallaswami.

Sri P. T. Rajan.’

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I second it.

12th March 1959]

MR. CHAIRMAN : Amendment moved—

‘ That the Madras Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts Bill, 1959 (L.C. Bill No. 3 of 1959) be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members with instructions to report on the 18th of March 1959 :—

The Hon. Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam.

The Hon. Sri R. Venkataraman.

Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar.

Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar

Sri A. Gajapathy Nayagar.

Sri T. Purushotham.

Sri B. K. Nallaswami.

Sri P. T. Rajan. ’

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am agreeable to this motion to refer this Bill to a Select Committee with instructions to report on the 18th of March 1959.

Sir, I would like to point out that this is only a moratorium measure. There is no question of cancellation of debts or scaling down of debts. Secondly, this Bill applies to about 200 villages where Gramdan has been made. Thirdly, it is intended for the benefit of the communities living in the Gramdan villages. Lands have been donated for the benefit of the people there. We are interested in helping the community to re-establish itself there. The land is there and they must be rehabilitated. That is the main objective behind this Bill.

Sir, about the comment that only Governments and Co-operative Societies and Land Mortgage Banks have been exempted from the operation of this Act, the idea is not that Government should have any special privilege and that Government should be allowed to harass the people. On the other hand, as I have pointed out, we have allotted a sum of Rs. 20 lakhs for the purpose of giving further loans to these people in the villages. Whether it is a co-operative society or a Government, we should regulate the mode of collection of the arrears. In fact, so far as the Government are concerned, we are making provision for giving further loans and there cannot be any question of harassment. And chit funds have also been included. These creditors themselves should have been beneficiaries of these and they should have drawn large amounts and, therefore, we have excluded them. But apart from that, the question is whether these people, in rehabilitating whom we are all interested in these Gramdan villages where Gramdan has been made, should be subject to harassment. After all, the moratorium is only for one year and the creditors have to wait only for one year and after one year, payment has to be made in three yearly instalments and this should not affect the other creditors. This is intended to help the people in the villages to rehabilitate themselves.

4.10
p.m.

Then, Sir, comment was made about the definition of ‘ Gramdan village ’. The Select Committee, I have no doubt, will consider

[Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam] [12th March 1959]

that clause and I myself was thinking that the definition of 'Gramdan village' could be restricted so as to comprise *bona fide* villagers who were living on a specified data. Sir, there was a suggestion that not only residents of the village but also donors of lands who resided outside should be included. But as I have pointed out, the objective behind this measure is to help the community for whom this donation is intended. Therefore, we have not included the donors who reside outside the village. We are not interested in the donors as such. It is not a question of helping the donors with the Act. We are interested in protecting the community. Therefore, Sir, so far as the definition of 'Gramdan village' is concerned, not merely the cultivator but even others are included; for, in these small villages there are also artisans, carpenters and others and they should also be protected and all of them are members of the society and community in the village. It is therefore that the clause is so worded that it comprises all the villagers of the Gramdan village. Sir, it is not a question of somebody being under a dispute and Government intervening to knock away the land. The land has been donated and that has been done with the best of intentions and normally we should not question the *bona fides* of it. There may be cases, as pointed out by the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition. But, normally, we should assume that donations have been made with the highest of motives and we must express appreciation of the idea behind this Act, of parting with one's own land for the benefit not of an individual in whom the donor is interested, but for the benefit of the community as such. Therefore, once land is donated, it has to be taken in the proper light. Now we are only interested in helping the community, in protecting the community. The creditors can wait; they are not going to suffer seriously; it is only for a year they have to wait. Of course, as pointed out by the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition, the Courts are there and they can do that. Even Courts have been exercising, showing human consideration in these matters and they have been decreeing allowing payment in instalments. Still, the Courts may not be judicially aware of the peculiar circumstances under which these communities are situated. Therefore it is we do this. Even in times of depression, this Legislature has passed Moratorium Acts to be in force for a specific period. In the same way we want to help the communities in the Gramdan villages and with that object, this Bill has been brought forward. I do say that the Bill could be corrected, revised, subject to the principles of the Bill being accepted. I do hope that the Select Committee that will be examining the provisions of the Bill will go into all these questions. I commend the motion for reference of the Bill to the Select Committee.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

'That the Madras Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 (L.C. Bill No. 3 of 1959) be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members with instructions to report on the 18th of March 1959 :—

The Hon. Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam.

12th March 1959]

[Mr. Chairman]

The Hon. Sri R. Venkataraman.
Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar.
Sri P. S. Krishnaswamy Ayyangar.
Sri A. Gajapathy Nayagar.
Sri T. Purushotham.
Sri B. K. Nallaswami.
Sri P. T. Rajan.'

The amendment was put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Under rule 99 (1) of the Madras Council Rules, I have to nominate the Chairman of the Select Committee. Accordingly, I nominate the Member in charge of the Bill, the Hon. Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam, to be the Chairman of the Select Committee. The Report of the Select Committee should be presented to the House on the 18th March 1959.

The House will now adjourn and meet again at 3 p.m. on Wednesday, the 18th March 1959.

The House then adjourned.

IV. PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

131. Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 285, Home, dated 31st January 1959, regarding exemption of tax leviable under the Madras Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1931 (Madras Act III of 1931) of certain vehicles belonging to Kerala State Transport Department in respect of their journeys made in Madras State area while going to and from New Delhi in connection with the India 1958 Exhibition at New Delhi.

B—cont.

Bhaktavatsalam, the Hon. Sri M.—cont.

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	227, 232 283-292
---	---------------------

Bill—

Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959—

Introduced	225
Referred to Select Committee—	395-411

Bridge(s)—

Question re—

Construction of — across Palar river at Arcot	393-394
Construction of overbridge at Covelong	224

Budget for 1959-60—

General discussion on	129-158 170-215 225-276 283-327 340-384
-----------------------	---

Presentation of	16-18
-----------------	-------

Buses—

See Transport.

Business Advisory Committee—

See Committees.

C

Cattle census—

See Teachers.

Chairman, Mr.—

Announcement re Business Advisory Committee's decision	169-177
Bill—Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959	411
House Committee, election of Members to	15-16 395
Privileges Committee, election of Members to	15 339-340

Chidambaranathan, Dr. A—

Bill—Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959	407
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	253-257 260

Clubwala Jadhav, Srimathi Mary C.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	227-239
---	---------

College Calendars—

Question re delay in distribution of — in Government Colleges during 1957-58	388
--	-----

Colleges—

Question re—

College staff, implementation of University Grants Commission's recommendations re scales of pay	166-167
--	---------

C—cont.

Colleges—cont.

Question re—cont.

Government —, instructions re etiquette and manners of students in	5 6
Midday meals, provision of enclosure in schools and — for pupils to take	121-122
Presidency College and Government Arts College, Madras, applications for foreign service from teaching personnel	335-336
Commercial Crops Markets Rules, 1948—	
Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	153, 159

Committees—

Business Advisory Committee, decision of	169-170
Committee on Subordinate Legislation, Fourth Report laid on the table	215
House Committee, election of Members to	15-16 395
Privileges Committee, election of Members to	14-15 339-340

Contracts system—

Question re abolition of	338
--------------------------------	-----

Co-operative Societies—

Question re Co-operative Building Society for Non-Gazetted Government Officers of Coimbatore, construction of buildings by—	337-338
---	---------

Corporation of Madras—

Question re suspension of an official of	168
--	-----

D

Dogs—

Issue of rules for licensing of — and destruction of unlicensed —, notification laid on the table	158
---	-----

Duke of Edinburgh, H.R.H. the—

Question re visit of—, invitees to the aerodrome	329-331
--	---------

E

Education—

Question re State Educational Plan, introduction of legislation for	165-166
---	---------

Electricity—

Question re—	
Kundah Hydro-Electric Scheme, payment of compensation for lands acquired	167-168
Periyar Hydro-Electric Scheme, date of commissioning of second generator	168
Sandyanallah Scheme in the Nilgiris district, execution of	337-338

Electricity Board, Madras State—

Annual Financial Statement for 1959-60 and Supplementary Financial Statement for 1958-59 of—laid on the table	216
---	-----

E—cont.

Epidemics—

Prevalence of typhoid, chicken-pox and smallpox in epidemic form in Madras City, Minister's attention called to ...	128-129
Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948—	
Settlement of estates in Sattur and Srivilliputtur taluks including Sevalpatti Estate, notification laid on the table.	327
Estates (Supplementary) Act, 1958—	
Amendment to rules under—, notification laid on the table.	19
Ethirajalu, Sri M.—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ...	310, 311 364-367

Examination—

Question re S.S.L.C. Public Examination, conducting of examinations in first language (Tamil) on the first day of ...	10-11
---	-------

F**Fishermen colony—**

Question re construction of a — at Melmalayanur, Gingee taluk ...	168
---	-----

Five-Year Plan, Third—

Question re formulation of—for Madras State ...	333-334
---	---------

Food—

Question re—	
Paddy, ban on export from Madras State ...	219-221
Rice procurement in Madras State, agency for ...	7-8

Food Laboratories—

Question re location of Regional — in Madras State ...	277-279
--	---------

Foreign service for teaching personnel—

See Colleges.

G**Gajapathy Nayagar, Sri A.—**

Bill—Gramdan Villagegs (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 ...	408
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ...	205-209

General Sales Tax Rules, 1939—

Amendment to —, notification laid on the table ...	215
--	-----

General Sales Tax (Turnover and Assessment) Rules, 1939—

Amendment to —, notification laid on the table ...	158
--	-----

Godavari river—

Question re diversion and utilization of waters of — in Madras State ...	338-339
--	---------

Government servants—

See Personal files.

Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959—

See Bill.

Harijans—*See* Housing.**Hindi Pandits—***See* Teachers.**Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration)****Department—**Question *re* publication of Departmental Magazine by ... 221-222**Hospitals—**Question *re* loss of children in Government — ... 125-126*See* Lay Secretary and Treasurer.**House Committee—***See* Committees.**Housing—**Question *re* houses constructed for Harijans during 1956-57 and 1957-58, number of — and Central assistance received ... 14**I.A.S. Officers—**Question *re* number of — under suspension and reasons for suspension ... 165**J****Joghee Gowder, Sri T.—**

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 257-258

John Asirvatham, Sri—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 356-358

K**Karayalar, Sri L. S.—**

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 340-347

Kosalram, Sri K. T.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 177-185

Krishna river—Question *re* diversion and utilization of waters of — in Madras State ... 338-339**Krishnamoorthy, Sri G.—**

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 149-158

Krishnaswamy Ayyangar, Sri P. S.—

Bill — Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 ... 401-404

Kundah Hydro-Electric Scheme—*See* Electricity.**L****Lakshmanaswami Mudaliar, Dr. A.—**Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on — ... 138, 249
269, 274
292-303
379, 380

L—cont.

Lay Secretary and Treasurer—	
Question re duties of — and hospitals having —	12-13
L.C.E.'s and L.E.E.'s—	
Question re disparity in pay-scales of — employed by Government and local bodies	224-225
Liquor supply—	
See Prohibition.	
M	
Mahomed Usman, Dr.—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	225-227
Manickavelu, the Hon. Sri M. A.—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	249
	308-321
Prevalence of typhoid, chicken-pox and smallpox in epidemic form in Madras City, statement re	128-129
Maternity Centres—	
See Ayahs.	
Matter of urgent public importance—	
Prevalence of typhoid, chicken-pox and smallpox in epidemic form in Madras City, calling attention to	128-129
Medicine—	
Question re —	
Siddha Research Centre and Hospital, starting of a — in Madras State	222-223
Siddha works, publication of	223-224
Midday meals to children—	
See Schools and Cilleses.	
Mohamed Raza Khan, Sri—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	170-177
	180, 205
Motor vehicles tax—	
Exemption of MSX 2456 belonging to the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund from —, notification laid on the table	18
Exemption of motor-car MSX 3353 belonging to the French Trade Commissioner from —, notification laid on the table	384
Exemption of motor-car MSX 3996 belonging to the Consul of France in Madras from —, notification laid on the table	384
Exemption of vehicles of Kerala State Transport Department in connection with India 1958 exhibition from —, notification laid on the table	411
Muthukannappan, Vidwan T.—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	270-276
	320

N

Nallasenapathi Sarkarai Manradiar, Sri—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	360-364
Nallaswami, Sri B. K.—	
Bill — Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959	406-407
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	144-149 312, 313
National Extension Service Blocks—	
Question <i>re</i> number of — opened during 1958-59	121

P

Paddy—	
<i>See</i> Food.	
Palaniswamy Gounder, Sri V. K.—	
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	292 347-350
Panchayats—	
Amendment to regulations relating to conditions of service of Executive Officers of —, notification laid on the table	327
Papers laid on the table of the House—	
Commercial Crops Markets Rules, 1948, amendments to — (G.O. Ms. Nos. 106 and 107, Food and Agriculture, dated 9th January 1959)	158, 159
Committee on Subordinate Legislation, Fourth Report	215
Dogs, issue of rules for licensing of — and destruction of unlicensed — (G.O. No. 1692, Local Administration, dated 20th November 1958)	158
Duty-paid foreign liquor, possession and service of — by Air India International to international passengers (G.O. Ms. No. 186, Home, dated 23rd January 1959)	19
Electricity Board, Madras State, Annual Financial Statement for 1959-60 and Supplementary Financial Statement for 1958-59	215
Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948, settlement of estates in Sattur and Srivilliputtur taluks including Sevalpatti Estate (G.O. Ms. No. 4546, Revenue, dated 24th December 1958)	327
Estates (Supplementary) Act, 1958, amendment to rules under — (G.O. No. 4171, Revenue, dated 17th November 1958)	19
Executive Officers of panchayats, amendment to regulations relating to conditions of service, etc. (G.O. No. 1720, Local Administration, dated 27th November 1958)	327
General Sales Tax Rules, 1939, amendment to — (G.O. No. 181, Revenue, dated 19th January 1959)	213
General Sales Tax (Turnover and Assessment) Rules, 1939, amendment to — (G.O. No. 118, Revenue, dated 12th January 1959)	158
Liquor supply to licensees for sale to non-commissioned officers of Merchant Navy (G.O. Ms. No. 323, Home, dated 4th February 1959)	159
Motor vehicles tax—	
Exemption of MSX 2456 belonging to the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund from — (G.O. Ms. No. 14, Home, dated 2nd January 1959)	18

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Motor vehicles tax—cont.

Exemption of motor-car MSX 3353 belonging to the French Trade Commissioner from — (G.O. Ms. No. 284, Home, dated 31st January 1959) ... 384

Exemption of motor-car MSX 3996 belonging to the Consul of France in Madras from — (G.O. Ms. No. 266, Home, dated 29th January 1959) ... 384

Exemption of vehicles of Kerala State Transport Department in connection with India 1958 Exhibition from — (G.O. Ms. No. 285, Home, dated 31st January 1959) ... 411

Research Assistant (Hydrography), inclusion of post within the purview of the Service Commission [G.O. Ms. No. 254, Public (Services-A), dated 2nd February 1959] ... 159

Subordinate Magisterial Service Special Rules, re-issue of — (G.O. Ms. No. 2643, Home, dated 19th September 1958) ... 19

Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls (Madras) Rules, 1958, amendments to — (G.O. Ms. No. 131, Home, dated 16th January 1959) ... 19

Tanjore Pannaiyal Protection Rules, 1952, amendments to — (G.O. No. 4314, Revenue, dated 29th November 1958) ... 19

Village Panchayats Act, 1950, extension of terms of Aravakurichi panchayat (G.O. No. 274, Local Administration, dated 9th February 1959) ... 215

Patanjali Sastry, Sri M.—

Budget for 1959–60, general discussion on ... 321–327

Pension—

See Teachers.

Periyar Hydro-Electric Scheme—

See Electricity.

Personal explanation—

Clarification of a statement made by a Member by way of — ... 170

Personal files—

Question re rules governing the writing of — of subordinate officials ... 1–5

Poet Laureateship of the State—

Question re revival of ... 11–12

Privileges Committee—

See Committees.

Prohibition—

Duty-paid foreign liquor, notification re possession and service of — by Air India International to international passengers laid on the table ... 19

Liquor supply to licensees for sale to non-commissioned officers of Merchant Navy, notification laid on the table ... 159

Provident Fund—

See Teachers.

P—cont.

Purushotham, Sri T.—

Bill — Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959	...	404-405
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	...	135-144
		298, 300
		315, 319
		320
Personal explanation about a statement made by—	...	170

Q

Questions and Answers—

Chidambaranathan, Dr. A.—

Question re—

Government High School for the Blind at Poonamallee, number of students passing the S.S.L.C. Examination in 1957-58	...	334-335
Periyar Hydro-Electric Scheme, date of commissioning of second generator	...	168
S.S.L.C. Public Examination, conducting of examinations in first language (Tamil) on the first day of	...	10-11
Tamil Pandits in middle and high schools, scales of pay and special pay granted to	...	281-282

Ethirajalu, Sri M.—

Question re—

Car accidents during 1956-57 and 1957-58, number of	...	336-337
Contracts system, abolition of	...	338
Drinking water wells sunk under National Extension Service and Community Development Schemes during 1956-57 and 1957-58, number of — and expenditure incurred.	...	388-390
Fishermen colony at Melmalayanur, Gingee taluk, construction of	...	168
National Extension Service Blocks opened during 1958-59, number of	...	121
National Water-supply Scheme, Government's recommendation at the meeting of Local Boards Central Council	...	127
Taxis in Madras City, painting the roofs yellow and the bodies black	...	279

Gajapathy Nayagar, Sri A.—

Question re Government colleges, instructions re etiquette and manners of students in	...	5-6
---	-----	-----

Krishnamoorthy, Sri G.—

Question re—

B.T. Assistants in secondary schools run by Tirunelveli District Board, reduction in salaries	...	6-7
Government Transport buses, provision of electric bells in	...	218-219
Hindi Pandits in schools, eligibility of persons having passed the Vidwan Examination of Madras University in Hindi for appointment as	...	283
L.C.E.'s and J.S.E.'s employed by Government and by local bodies, disparity in pay-scales	...	224-225

Questions and Answers—cont.

Krishnamoorthy, Sri G.—cont.

Question re—cont.

Pension to teachers, delay in grant of	392-393
Pension to teachers, grant of fixed rates of	335
Rattling of doors in Madras City in July and August 1958, reasons for	277
Teachers of municipal schools employed for cattle census work, payment of remuneration to	390-392

Mohamed Raza Khan, Sri—

Question re—

Paddy, ban on export from Madras State	219-291
Rice procurement in Madras State, agency for	7-8
Sudarsan Oil Mills, Limited, Katpadi, sale of properties and amount of Government loan realized	331-333
Visit of H.R.H. the Duke of Edinburgh, invitees to the aerodrome	329-331

Muthukannappan, Vidwan T.—

Question re—

College Calendars, delay in distribution in Government Colleges during 1957-58	388
College staff, implementation of University Grants Commission's recommendations re scales of pay	166-167
Government colleges, instructions re etiquette and manners of students in	5-6
Madras Corporation official, suspension of	168
Overbridge at Covelong, construction of	224
Smoking inside the buses, prohibition of	279-280
Teaching personnel of Presidency College and Government Arts College, Madras, applications for foreign service.	335-336

Nallaswami, Sri B K.—

Question re Co-operative Building Society for Non-Gazetted Government Officers of Coimbatore, construction of buildings by

387-388

Purushotham, Sri T.—

Question re—

Anicut across Adyar river for feeding the Thiruvannamiyar tank, construction of	126-127
Bridge across Palar river at Arcot, construction of	393-394
Bullock carts and bullock trucks, checking overloading of.	8-10
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department, publication of Departmental Magazine by	221-223
Krishna and Godavari rivers, diversion and utilization of waters in Madras State	338-339
Lay Secretary and Treasurer, duties of — and hospitals having	12-13
Midday meals, provision of enclosure in schools and colleges for pupils to take	121-122

Q—cont.

Questions and Answers—cont.

Purushotham, Sri T.—cont.

Question re—cont.

Personal files of subordinate officials, rules governing the writing of	1-5
Regional Food Laboratories, location of—in Madras State	277-279
Road between Tiruvallur and Arkonam, formation of ...	394
Secretariat offices, shifting of—to Government Estate, Mount Road, due to Harbour expansion	217-218
Siddha Research Centre and hospital, starting of a—in Madras State	222-223
Siddha works, publication of—	223-224
State Educational Plan, introduction of legislation for ...	165-166
Third Five-Year Plan for Madras State, formulation of.	333-334

R

Ramasamy Gounder, Sri K. M.—

Question re—

Ayahs in Maternity Centres in Block Development areas, abolition of post	167
Poet Laureateship of the State, revival of	11-12
Ramakrishna High School, Tirupattur, non-payment of salaries of teachers	393

Ramaswami, Sri V. V.—

Question re—

Bus accident on Bodinaikanur-Thevaram road on 10th May 1958	127-128
Eviction of agriculturists of Amboorani, Sattankulam, Nanguneri taluk, petition re	125
Government hospitals in the mufassal, loss of children in.	125-126
Single-teacher schools in each district, number of— ...	122-124

Surendram, Sri V. M.—

Question re—

Houses constructed for Harijans during 1956-57 and 1957-58, number of—and Central assistance received.	14
I.A.S. Officers under suspension, number of—and reasons for suspension	165
Kundah Hydro-Electric Scheme, payment of compensation for lands acquired	167-168
Sandynallah Scheme in the Nilgiris district, execution of	337-338

Rajan, Sri P. T.—

Bill—Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 ...	408
Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	250-253

Ramalingam Pillai, Sri V.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on	350-353
--	---------

Ramasamy Gounder, Sri K. M.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 267-270
318

Ramaswami, Sri V. V.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 129-135

Ramaswamy, Sri K. V.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 369-372

Rattling of doors—

Question *re* reasons for — in Madras City in July and August 1958 277

Research Assistant (Hydrography)—

Inclusion of post of — within the purview of the Service Commission —, notification laid on the table 159

Rice—

See Food.

Road(s)—

Question *re* formation of — between Tiruvallur and Arkonam ... 394

S

Sandyanallah Scheme—

See Electricity.

Saraswathy Pandurangam, Srimathi—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 353-356

Schools—

Question *re*—

Government High School for the Blind at Poonamallee, number of students passing the S.S.L.C. Examination in 1957-58 334-335

Midday meals, provision of enclosure in schools and colleges for pupils to take — 121-122

Number of single-teacher — in each district 122-124

Ramakrishna High School, Tirupattur, non-payment of salaries of teachers 393

Secretariat offices—

Question *re* shifting of — to Government Estate, Mount Road, due to Harbour expansion 217-218

Seshachariar, Sri M.—

Bill—Gramdan Villages (Repayment of Debts) Bill, 1959 ... 404

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 263-267

Siddha Research Centre—

Question *re* starting of a — and Hospital in Madras State ... 222-223

Siddha works—

Question *re* publication of 223-224

Sivasubramanya Nadar, Sri S. P.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 197-201

Srinivasavaradan, Sri T. P.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on 185-192

S—cont.

Srinivasavaradan, Sri T. P.—cont.

Prevalence of typhoid, chicken-pox and smallpox in epidemic form in Madras City, calling Minister's attention to ... 128

Question *re* Provident Fund of teachers under local bodies and in aided institutions, limit for contribution to. 14

State Aid to Industries—

Question *re* Sudarsan Oil Mills, Limited, Katpadi, sale of properties of — and amount of Government loan realized ... 331-333

Subordinate Magisterial Service Special Rules—

Re-issue of —, notification laid on the table ... 19

Subramaniam, the Hon. Sri C.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ... 130, 133
137, 244

Subramanyam, Sri A.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ... 192-197

Sudarsan Oil Mills, Limited, Katpadi—

Question *re* sale of properties of — and amount of Government loan realized ... 331-333

Sudarsanam Naidu, Sri M. V.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ... 368-369

Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls (Madras)

Rules, 1958—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table ... 19

Surendram, Sri V. M.—

Budget for 1959-60, general discussion on ... 358-360

T

Tamil Pandits—

See Teachers.

Tanjore Pannaiyal Protection Rules, 1952—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table ... 19

Taxis—

See Transport.

Teachers—

Question *re*—

B.T. Assistants in secondary schools run by Tirunelveli District Board, reduction in salaries ... 6-7

Delay in grant of pension to — ... 392-393

Grant of fixed rates of pension to ... 335

Hindi Pandits in schools, eligibility of persons having passed the Vidwan Examination of Madras University in Hindi for appointment as ... 283

Non-payment of salaries to — of Ramakrishna High School, Tirupattur ... 393

Provident Fund of — under local bodies and in aided institutions, limit for contribution to ... 14

Remuneration to — of municipal schools employed for cattle census work ... 390-392



T—cont.

Teachers—cont.

Question re—cont.

Tamil Pandits in middle and high schools, scales of pay and special pay granted to ... 281-282

Third Five-Year Plan—

See Five-Year Plan, Third.

Transport—

Question re—

Bullock carts and bullock trucks, checking overloading of ... 8-10
 Government Transport buses, provision of electric bells in ... 218-219
 Smoking inside the buses, prohibition of ... 279-280
 Taxis in Madras City, painting the roofs yellow and the bodies black ... 278

Typhoid, chicken-pox and small pox—

Prevalence of — in epidemic form in Madras City, Minister's attention called to ... 128-129

U

University Grants Commission—

See Colleges.

V

Venkataraman, the Hon. Sri R.—

Budget for 1959-60—

General discussion on ... 130, 137
 148, 172, 175, 179, 187, 196
 201, 202, 203, 246, 253, 259
 261, 270, 303, 322, 323, 326
 341, 344, 346, 349, 353, 359
 360, 372-384

Presentation of ... 16-18

House Committee, election of members to ... 15

Privileges Committee, election of Members to ... 14

Village Panchayats Act, 1950—

Extension of term of Aravakurichi panchayat, notification laid on the table ... 215

W

Warrant of Precedence—

Question re visit of H.R.H. the Duke of Edinburgh, invitees to the Aerodrome ... 329-331

Water-supply Scheme—

Question re Government's recommendation re National — at the meeting of the Local Boards Central Council ... 127

Wells—

Question re drinking water — sunk under National Extension Service and Community Development Schemes during 1956-57 and 1957-58, number of — and expenditure incurred ... 388-390

SA
 B.H.
 120



